|  | What do you like in examples from other states? | What do you not like in examples from other states? | Do you have suggestions on language? | Additional Notes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Whether the duty to report may be delegated to another | North Dakota and California. They allow for large agencies who are all primarily reporting parties to delegate the role of reporting. I also like - Institutions shall not block the ability to report/shall provide the ability to report free from restriction. | I do not like that some states require you to notify the person in charge or the assigned person of the need to make a report first. | A mixture of North Dakota and California | I like allowing for large agencies to delegate with a clear written policy in place. |
| Whether institutions may develop internal policies regarding mandatory reports | Maybe South Carolina and Michigan? | I do not like the states that just say the state shall adopt a policy. This is how we end up with inconsistency.  I do not like the language such as in Idaho - that the person in charges or the assigned delegated person, to make the report. This causes a delay in reporting and allows for the person in charge or delegate to override the decision of the originating person who receives the disclosure/suspects abuse/neglect | I like the language that an organization/agency with more than 25 staff with the same responsibility may appoint, with a clear policy in place, someone to make a report (much like Dr. Wells said - where doctors and nurses could appoint the social worker to make the report. | I do not like having a reporting party tell their supervisor or delegate first before making a report. The originating RP should need to make the report. |